

www.hhccny.org hhc@unitedway-cny.org f facebook.com/hhccny @hhcofcny

Onondaga/Oswego/Cayuga County Continuum of Care 2021 Local Renewal Application Detailed Rubric Applications are due September 24, 2021, at 5 pm. Applications must be submitted in a single PDF to Megan Stuart via email: <u>mstuart@unitedway-cny.org</u>

Agency and Project Information	
Agency Name:	
Program Name:	
Application Contact Person:	
Component Type:	□ RRH □ PSH □ Th-RRH
County Serving:	🗆 Cayuga 🔲 Onondaga 🗆 Oswego

Narrative Response Questions	
Consistent Service Delivery: 5 points	Describe how your program will provide consistent help across intersectional identities. (e.g. LGBTQIA+, youth, BIPOC, etc.) (250 words)
Elevating Lived Experience: 5 points	Describe how your program plans to elevate the voices of and employ people with lived experience of homelessness to create better support for your clients. (250 words)
CE Workgroup Attendance: 5 points	Did a representative of your project attend 75% of quarterly coordinated entry workgroup meetings? □ Yes □ No
CoC Membership Attendance: 5 points	Did a representative of your project attend 75% of CoC General Membership meetings? □ Yes □ No
Racial Disparities:	How does your project work to eliminate racial disparities in
5 points	housing outcomes?



www.hhccny.org hhc@unitedway-cny.org f facebook.com/hhccny @hhcofcny

Of Centra	New	York

(250 words, avamples includes
(250 words, examples include:
- Policies to ensure that all clients are able to access services at the
level of their need
- People with lived experience of homelessness, including people
from BIPOC communities, are represented on the board of the
organization
- Client feedback on the project is requested and a process is in
place to examine and improve client satisfaction
- Outcome data is collected, disaggregated for race and ethnicity,
and used to inform policy decisions
- Training for frontline staff to provide high-quality services
- Recruiting staff with lived experience of homelessness
- Services include peer support positions
- Mentorship and training for frontline staff interested in
management positions)

	Project Competition Report:
All performanc	e data is for FY2020 (10/1/2019 to 9/30/2020). Financial data is for the most
recent compl	leted Fiscal Year. Monitoring score is for the most recent monitoring visit.
Utilization: 5 points	Projected households served during average PIT: Actual Number households served during PITs (APR Q8): October 2019 Households: January 2020 Households: April 2020 Households: July 2020 Households:
	Households Average Actual / Projected = Utilization % Did your project meet its projected number or either households or persons served during the year (100% utilization?)
Utilization Follow-up: 3 points	If the utilization rate of households was less than 100% due to circumstances beyond the project's control (e.g. Covid-19), please explain why in 250 words or less: [For reviewers:] - Lack of available rental housing



	 Staffing shortages Inability to provide remote case management
Vulnerable Populations: 5 points	What percentage of clients served in FY2020 were in the following categories? Chronically Homeless (Q5a #11 / Q5a # 14): (>75%) Youth [Q27a Youth Ages 18-24 / Q5a #1]: (>75%) Domestic Violence[Q14b Yes / Q5a#1]: (>75%) No Income [Q16 / Q5a#1] : (>75%) Score 5 points if any were above 75%
Data Quality: 1 point	Were all of the following error rates below 5% for Q6 of your FY2020 APR? Personally-Identifying information (6a), Universal Data Elements (6b), Income and Housing Data Quality (6c), Chronic Homelessness (6d)
\Box Yes \Box No	



Employment growth – Stayers: 5 points	What percentage of clients enrolled in your program at the end of FY2020 had increased their employment income since entering the program (APR Q19a1)? PSH: CoC-wide Average is 7% >10%: 5 points 5-10% : 3 points <5%: 0 points RRH: CoC-Wide Average is 26% >30% : 5 points 20-30% : 3 points <20%: 0 points
Employment Growth – Leavers: 5 points	 What percentage of clients who left your program increased their employment income during FY2020 (APR Q19a2)? PSH: CoC-wide average is 7% >10%: 5 points 5-10%: 3 points <5%: 0 points RRH: The CoC-wide Percentage is 13% >15%: 5 points <15%: 5 points <10%: 0 points
Income Growth – Stayers: 5 points	 What percentage of clients enrolled in your program at the end of FY2020 had increased their non-employment cash income since entering the program (APR Q19a2)? (SSI or state/local public assistance benefits, increases in SSI benefits) PSH: The CoC-wide percentage for PSH programs was 46% >50%: 5 points 40-50%: 3 points <40%: 0 points RRH: The CoC-wide percentage for RRH programs was 27% >30%: 5 points 25-30%: 3 points <25%: 0 points
Income Growth – Leavers: 5 points	What percentage of clients who left your program increased their non-employment cash income during FY2020 (APR Q19a2)?



	PSH: The CoC-wide percentage for PSH programs was 20%>25%: 5 points15-25%: 3 points<15%: 0 pointsRRH: The CoC-wide percentage for RRH programs was 25%>30%: 5 points20-30%: 3 points<20%: 0 points
Health Insurance: 1 point	What percentage of leavers in your project had health insurance upon exit from the project (APR Q21 + APR Q5a)? 1- (Number of Leavers with No Health Insurance / Number of Leavers) The CoC-Wide Percentage was 88% for PSH The CoC-Wide Percentage was 93% for RRH >90%: 1 point <90% 0 points
Non-Cash Benefits: 1 point	What percentage of leavers enrolled in your project had other non-cash benefits upon exit from the project (Q20b, Q5a)? 1- (Number of Leavers with No Noncash Benefits / Number of Leavers) The CoC-Wide Percentage for PSH was 76% [1-(62/254)] The CoC-Wide Percentage for RRH was 85% [1-(102/670)] >80%: 1 point < 80%: 0 points
Time to Move-in: 1 point Fund expenditure: 5 points	For RRH, what was the average time for households to move into housing after enrolling in the project? The CoC-Wide Average Length of time is 26 days <30 days: 1 point Were all funds expended in the last completed program year? 100%: 5 points 95-99%: 3 points



www.hhccny.org hhc@unitedway-cny.org f facebook.com/hhccny @hhcofcny

	<94%: 0 points
Fund expenditure:	If less than 95% of funds were not expended, please provide an explanation of the
3 points	reason for not expending the funds. (250-word limit)
Monitoring: 15 points	Were there significant findings for your project during CoC monitoring?
\Box Yes \Box No	

Total